**CECS 323 Project Peer Review**

All of us have been on teams where one or more of the team members “carried the load” for the team while there were others who made disproportionately small contributions, or worse, claimed to take a particular task and then failed to deliver at the last minute, or delivered a shoddy product. While I cannot claim that I can completely remedy that, I want to use this survey to help me find who within each team might have gotten by with substantially less output for the team than the rest of the team.

This survey is completely anonymous, it will not be shared with anyone on your team, so I hope that you feel free to be honest. If I have reason to confront anyone on the team, I’ll merely tell them that the consensus on the team appears to be that they had some issues contributing to the project, and sound them out as to why they left that impression. For those who are willing to learn from the experience, this can be a real help.

**Fill this form out once, for the entire Key Hook Term Project**. Some of you had to switch teams along the way. Please evaluate those team members that you had at the end of the project to keep things simple.

For the questions that start the question with “Did they”, please answer on a scale of 1 to 5. 1 means “hardly at all, hardly ever, just enough to get by” where 5 means “I’d get on a team with this person in a heartbeat!”.

Team Name: No name. Team members: Vinh Huynh (me), Stephen Martinez, Kiet Nguyen, Richard Nguyen

Team Member Name: Stephen Martinez

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Question regarding this team member** | **Your assessment of their performance** |
| Did they attend team meetings? | 5 |
| Did they volunteer for specific tasks? | 5 |
| Did they turn in deliverables on time? | 5 |
| Was their work high quality? | 5 |
| Did they participate in team meetings? | 5 |
| Did they support the team consensus? | 5 |

What was their single biggest contribution to the team: Sometimes be the first to create a skeleton for one of the phases of the project, that makes it easy for everyone to collaborate on. Someone needs to break the ice!

Team Member Name: Kiet Nguyen

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Question regarding this team member** | **Your assessment of their performance** |
| Did they attend team meetings? | 5 |
| Did they volunteer for specific tasks? | 5 |
| Did they turn in deliverables on time? | 5 |
| Was their work high quality? | 5 |
| Did they participate in team meetings? | 5 |
| Did they support the team consensus? | 5 |

What was their single biggest contribution to the team: Highly skilled programmer that can deliver well rounded code for given tasks. They can work really well independently.

Team Member Name: Richard Nguyen

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Question regarding this team member** | **Your assessment of their performance** |
| Did they attend team meetings? | 4 |
| Did they volunteer for specific tasks? | 5 |
| Did they turn in deliverables on time? | 5 |
| Was their work high quality? | 5 |
| Did they participate in team meetings? | 5 |
| Did they support the team consensus? | 5 |

What was their single biggest contribution to the team: Was absent in the first meeting of the team but is willing to complete any given tasks. Was the one that ask many questions so that the team can advance on the early ideas.